PATENT COOPERATION TRE

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY 10/501309

PATENTRECORDSCENTER

PCT

Dobson, Kevin S.

E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY

4417 Lancaster Pike

Wilmington, Delaware 19805 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

JUN 29 2004

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

24.06.2004

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

Applicant's or agent's file reference AD6800PCT1

International application No.

PCT/US 03/07026

International filing date (day/month/year)

07.03.2002

Priority date (day/month/year)

07.03.2003

Applicant

E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

EEL NOTFO

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Dekker, M

Tel. +31 70 340-4046



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference AD6800PCT1 International application No. PCT/US 03/07026		FOR FURTHER ACT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
		International filing date (da 07.03.2003	y/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 07.03.2002	
•	l Patent Classification (IPC) o	or both national classification and	IPC		
Applicant E.I. DU P	ONT DE NEMOURS A	ND COMPANY			
1. This Auth	international preliminary e ority and is transmitted to	xamination report has been per the applicant according to Ar	prepared by this Ir ticle 36.	nternational Preliminary Examining	
2. This	REPORT consists of a tot	al of 5 sheets, including this	cover sheet.		
⊠	been amended and are t	he basis for this report and/or tion 607 of the Administrative	sheets containing	ption, claims and/or drawings which have g rectifications made before this Authority er the PCT).	
3. This	report contains indications Basis of the opinion	s relating to the following iten	ns:		
, 11	Priority	'			
 111		of opinion with regard to nov	elty, inventive ste	p and industrial applicability	
IV	☐ Lack of unity of inv				
٧	Reasoned stateme citations and expla	nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with nations supporting such state	regard to novelty, ment	, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
VI	☐ Certain documents	cited			
VII	☐ Certain defects in t	he international application		·	
VIII	☐ Certain observation	ns on the international applica	ation		
Data of out	omission of the demand		Date of completion o	of this report	
Jale Of SUD	ALIBORATE OF THE CENTRALIA	1	U. JOHN PICKON U		
29.09.2003			24.06.2004		
Name and	mailing address of the interna	tional	Authorized Officer		
preliminary	examining authority: European Patent Office - I NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pay	P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2	Schmidt, H	e of the second	
الو	Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	: 31 651 epo nl	elephone No. +31 7	70 240 2461	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 03/07026

I.	Basi	is of	the	report	ć
----	------	-------	-----	--------	---

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages						
	1-4,	6, 8, 11-19	as originally filed					
	5, 7	, 9, 10	received on 08.03.2004 with letter of 08.03.2004					
	Clai	ims, Numbers						
	1-6		received on 08.03.2004 with letter of 08.03.2004					
2.	With	n regard to the langu guage in which the int	age, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ernational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	The	ese elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:						
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
		the language of publ	ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).					
3.	With inte	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.					
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.						
	□.	The statement that the listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.					
4.	The	ne amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:					
		the drawings,	sheets:					
5.		This report has been been considered to	n established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).					
		(Any replacement sl report.)	neet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this					
6.	Add	ditional observations.	if necessarv:					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/US 03/07026

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No:

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-6

1-6

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

Claims

Claims

1-6

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet



Box I

1. The following documents are referred to in the present opinion; the numbering will be adhered to the entire procedure

US-A-4778866
US-A-5582918
WO-A-01/14620
EP-A-398250
WO-A-02/072648

Box V

- 2. The subject matter of present claims 1-6 appears to be novel acc. Art. 33(2) PCT
- 2.1 D1 discloses copolymers of ethylene and fluorinated acrylate derivatives, which are applied for films. However, monomers with group L (aromatic or polar groups) are not disclosed

D2 discloses ethylene copolymers for anti-friction coating films. However, the linking group is aliphatic

D3 discloses flash spinning of fibers of ethylene-fluorinated ethylene copolymers but no acrylic monomers similar to the ones disclosed in the present application

D4 discloses membranes made of copolymers of ethylene with fluorinated phosphorous acid comonomers, but no fluorinated monomer as claimed in the present claims

- 3. Present claims 1-6 do not appear to involve an inventive step acc. Art. 33(3) PCT
- 3.1 Subject matter of the present claims are articles, films, fibers etc. with reduced surface tension of polymers of fluorinated monomers comprising an aromatic or polar linking group

Closest prior art is D2



D2 describes articles using polymers of ethylene and a fluorinated acrylic group for lowering the surface tension (column 2, line 66 - 3, line 12)

Distinguishing feature to D2 is the linking group: aliphatic, not aromatic or polar like in the present case

This distinguishing feature does not result in a technical effect. The present application does not provide evidence for advantages of a polar or aromatic as compared to an aliphatic group

The problem to be solved is to provide articles of a lowered surface tension using a polymer with alternative fluorinated monomers

The solution to the problem are articles using polymers with monomers with aromatic and polar linking groups

The monomers used in the polymers of claim 1 are not known from one of D1-D4. However, it seems to be obvious that aromatic linking groups can be used in place of aliphatic linking groups to obtain the same effect of lowered surface tension. Since the applicant has not provided experiments with articles using these aromatic linking groups there is no evidence for a technical effect. Without evidence of a technical effect, the mere replacement of an aliphatic by an aromatic group cannot be regarded as inventive since the polymers are obviously suitable for the same purpose

3.2 The application of the claimed polymers in other articles like membranes, fibers etc. is obvious, since D1 and D3-D4 show that similar polymers are suitable for these uses.

Box VI

D5. which was published on 19.9.2002, discloses a similar copolymer of fluorinated monomers and ethylene or third monomer, and its application in films (claim 1, see line 23, page 11), composite films (claim 3, page 10, line 4) and fibers (claim 2, 5 and 6 page 10, line 16)

Box VIII

4.1 "about" in combination with ranges renders the subject matter of claims 1-6 unclear ted and adapted acc. claim 1